



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/506,600

09/03/2004

Chung Tae Kim

YPL-PT019

2853

3624

7590

05/31/2006

VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.  
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600  
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

VAUTROT, DENNIS L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2167

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/506,600	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM, CHUNG TAE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dennis L. Vautrot	<b>Art Unit</b> 2167	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 September 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 23 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/12/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Information Disclosure Statement*

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12 November 2004 has been received and entered into the record. Since the IDS complies with the provisions of MPEP § 609, the references cited therein have been considered by the examiner. See attached forms PTO-1449.

### *Claim Objections*

2. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 3 of claim 23 states "...stores multimedia database," but examiner interprets that to be "...stores multimedia data". If this is the intended interpretation, appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1 – 4, 7, 12-13, 15, 26, and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909).

Art Unit: 2167

4. Regarding claim 1, **Gibbon et al.** teaches a method of constructing a multimedia database method, comprising:

(a) receiving a start point and an end point of each first semantic unit of multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ...". This starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.);

(b) receiving a keyword for each first semantic unit (see column 13, lines 46-49 "For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency.");

(c) receiving a start point and an end point of each second semantic unit of the multimedia data including at least one first semantic unit (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ...". The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.);

and

(d) storing a keyword together with location information of its corresponding first semantic unit and second semantic unit (see column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." And see column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description generator shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given." The table of contents includes the keywords in the reference.)

5. Regarding claim 2, **Gibbon et al.** teaches (e) receiving a start point and an end point of each third semantic unit including a predetermined number of second semantic units, (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ..." This starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.) ;

wherein In (d), a keyword is stored with location information of its corresponding third semantic unit (see column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." And see column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description generator shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given.")

6. Regarding claim 3, **Gibbon et al.** teaches (f) receiving titles of each first semantic unit and each second semantic unit, (See column 3, lines 59-60 "Using the extracted stories and summaries/introductions, topics can be detected and categorized." The categories here can represent a title for the semantic unit.)

wherein in (d), a keyword is stored with the titles of its corresponding first semantic unit and second semantic unit. (See column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given." The keywords could also be used as titles.)

7. Regarding claim 4, **Gibbon et al.** teaches a keyword is classified into one of predetermined categories and is stored together with its corresponding category in (d). (See column 12, lines 50-56 "On the left of the screen, different semantics are categorized in the form of a table of contents...It is in a familiar hierarchical fashion which indexes directly into the stamped media data.")

8. Regarding claim 7, **Gibbon et al.** teaches the length of each first semantic unit and the length of each second semantic unit are determined by a user who constructs the multimedia database. (See column 4, lines 7-15 "The news data is segmented into multiple layers in a hierarchy to meet different needs. For instance, some users may want to retrieve a story directly; some others may want to listen to the news summary of the day in order to decide which story sounds interesting before making further

choices....” Here, the different semantic units are represented by various lengths of the data stream representing portions of a news broadcast. The user selects which length is the appropriate one for their use.)

9. Regarding claim 12, **Gibbon et al.** teaches a method of constructing a multimedia database, comprising: (a) setting a length of each first semantic unit of multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data according to a user's input (see column 11, lines 16-17 “The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ...” This starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 “The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day.” These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data. The user subsequently selects which division length they prefer to view.);
- (b) extracting a keyword from each first semantic unit using a predetermined method (see column 13, lines 46-49 “For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency.”);
- (c) setting a length of each second semantic unit of the multimedia data including at least one first semantic unit according to the users input (See column 4, lines 7-15 “The news data is segmented into multiple layers in a hierarchy to meet different needs. For instance, some users may want to retrieve a story directly; some others may want to

listen to the news summary of the day in order to decide which story sounds interesting before making further choices....” Here, the different semantic units are represented by various lengths of the data stream representing portions of a news broadcast. The user selects which length is the appropriate one for their use.); and

(d) storing the extracted keyword with its corresponding first semantic unit and second semantic unit (see column 13, lines 27-29 “The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090.” And see column 13, lines 50-52 “In the table of contents generated by the content description generator shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given.” The table of contents includes the keywords in the reference.)

**10.** Regarding claim 13, **Gibbon et al.** teaches (b1) extracting voice data from the multimedia data using a predetermined speech recognition technique (See column 3, lines 43-45 “Text may be from closed caption provided by a media provider or generated by the automatic speech recognition engine.”); and (b2) extracting a predetermined part of speech from the extracted voice data as a keyword (see column 13, lines 46-49 “For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency.”)

**11.** Regarding claim 15, **Gibbon et al.** teaches teach the keyword information is voice, an image, or text. (See column 2, lines 9-20 “The method may include separating a multimedia data stream into audio, visual and text components,



segmenting the audio, visual and text components based on semantic differences..., identifying a topic of the multimedia event using the segmented text and topic category models...")

12. Regarding claim 26, **Gibbon et al.** teaches a method of constructing a multimedia database, comprising: (a) receiving a length of each semantic unit of multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data according to a user's input (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ...". This starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data. The system receives what the user selects, which represents the division's length they prefer to view.); (b) extracting a keyword from each semantic unit of the multimedia data (see column 13, lines 46-49 "For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency."); and (c) storing keywords together with its corresponding semantic unit's location (see column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." And see column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description

generator shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given.”

The table of contents includes the keywords in the reference.)

13. Claims 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Milton** (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0059120).

14. Regarding claim 30, **Milton** teaches a method of constructing a multimedia database, comprising: (a) a user accessing a system (see page 2, paragraph [0023] “Thus a user can access his or her set of virtual inventory of media contents by simply using a web enabled device at any web enabled location through his or her Media Access Provider.”); (b) allowing the user to designate address information of a multimedia data file desired to be executed by the user (see page 3, paragraph [0030] “The Virtual Content Handler serves as a resource for identifying the location of the media content associated with the Content Handle.” Here, the user decides what multimedia data gets stored in the Content Handler, and the device keeps track of the location.); (c) executing the multimedia data file by accessing a server where the multimedia data file is stored according to the designated address information (see page 6, paragraph [0073] “Once the request is authenticated, the media content owner streams the relevant media content directly to the user or via the media access provider of the user.” In order to stream the content, the file has to be accessed from the server where it is stored.); (d) receiving and setting a start time and an end time of each first semantic unit of the multimedia data file, which is a smallest unit for searching for

Art Unit: 2167

multimedia data while executing the multimedia data file, and receiving representative information of each first semantic unit (see page 4, paragraph [0042] "First, the content handle data element uniquely identifies a particular media content. Namely, the content handle is a universally recognized code that is assigned by a "virtual media registry" (VMR) to uniquely represent a particular media content, e.g., a particular CD of an artist, a particular video or movie and so on. This data element allows participating entitles within the Virtual Media Transactional Network to quickly associate the virtual inventory unit with a particular media content." By knowing the particular unit of the media, either a song, the whole cd, or however it is broken up, the start and end location of the semantic unit would necessarily be included within the content handle data element.); and (e) storing the representative information of each first semantic unit together with the start time and end time of each first semantic unit and the address information of the multimedia data file (see page 4, paragraph [0042] "Additionally, the content handle serves to describe the location as to where the virtual inventory units will be sent to be handled and rerouted. For example, the content handle is read by the VCH to determine the location of the media content to be accessed in the case of a 'content access request'").)

15. Regarding claim 31, **Milton** teaches a system for constructing a multimedia database, comprising: an input and output unit which allows a user to access a system (see page 2, paragraph [0023] "Thus a user can access his or her set of virtual

inventory of media contents by simply using a web enabled device at any web enabled location through his or her Media Access Provider.”);

receives address information of a multimedia data file to be executed by the user (see page 3, paragraph [0030] “The Virtual Content Handler serves as a resource for identifying the location of the media content associated with the Content Handle.” Here, the user decides what multimedia data gets stored in the Content Handler, and the device keeps track of the location.)

a start time and an end time of each first semantic unit of the multimedia data file (see page 4, paragraph [0042] “First, the content handle data element uniquely identifies a particular media content. Namely, the content handle is a universally recognized code that is assigned by a “virtual media registry” (VMR) to uniquely represent a particular media content, e.g., a particular CD of an artist, a particular video or movie and so on.

This data element allows participating entities within the Virtual Media Transactional Network to quickly associate the virtual inventory unit with a particular media content.”

By knowing the particular unit of the media, either a song, the whole cd, or however it is broken up, the start and end location of the semantic unit would necessarily be included within the content handle data element.);

and representative information of each first semantic unit and allows the user to transmit data to or receive data from the server where the multimedia data file is stored (see page 4, paragraph [0042] “Additionally, the content handle serves to describe the location as to where the virtual inventory units will be sent to be handled and rerouted.

For example, the content handle is read by the VCH to determine the location of the media content to be accessed in the case of a 'content access request');  
a keyword database which stores the representative information of each first semantic unit with the start time and end time of each first semantic unit and the address information of the multimedia data file (see page 4, paragraph [0042] "First, the content handle data element uniquely identifies a particular media content. Namely, the content handle is a universally recognized code that is assigned by a "virtual media registry" (VMR) to uniquely represent a particular media content, e.g., a particular CD of an artist, a particular video or movie and so on." This represents the representative information of each first semantic unit. And see page 4, paragraph [0042] "additionally, the content handle serves to describe the location as to where the virtual inventory units will be sent to be handled and rerouted. For example, the content handle is read by the VCH to determine the location of the media content to be accessed in the case of a 'content access request'." This represents the address information.);  
and a control unit which executes the multimedia data file by accessing the server where the multimedia data file is stored in response to an input from the user using the input and output unit (see page 6, paragraph [0073] "Once the request is authenticated, the media content owner streams the relevant media content directly to the user via the media access provider of the user.");  
receives the start time and end time and the representative information of each first semantic unit in response to the input from the user and stores the received information in the keyword database together with the address information of the multimedia data

file (see page 4, paragraph [0042] “Additionally, the content handle serves to describe the location as to where the virtual inventory units will be sent to be handled and rerouted. For example, the content handle is read by the VCH to determine the location of the media content to be accessed in the case of a ‘content access request’.” This represents the address information);

and executes a predetermined first semantic unit of the multimedia data file using the address information of the multimedia data file and the start time and end time of the predetermined first semantic unit when a request for searching for and reproducing the predetermined first semantic unit is issued by the user (see page 6, paragraph [0074] “In step 330, method 300 plays the selected media content. In one illustrative embodiment, the media content owner forwards the stream of media content to a web enabled device specified by the user either directly or via the MAP of the user.”)

16. Regarding claim 32, **Milton** teaches a method of purchasing multimedia content from a multimedia content owner using a predetermined purchasing system, the method comprising: (a) informing a user of purchasable multimedia contents and allowing the user to select multimedia content to be purchased (see page 3, paragraph [0034] “The Media Access Provider of the present invention provides the important function of creating and maintaining a virtual inventory of media contents.”); (b) executing the selected multimedia content using address information of the multimedia content stored in the purchasing system (see page 6, paragraph [0073]

“Once the request is authenticated, the media content owner streams the relevant media content directly to the user or via the media access provider of the user.”);

(c) allowing the user to set a start time and an end time of each first semantic unit of the multimedia content, which is a smallest unit for purchasing the multimedia content, while executing the multimedia content ; (d) storing the start time and end time of each first semantic unit of the multimedia content with the address information of the multimedia content (see page 4, paragraph [0042]); (see page 4, paragraph [0042] “Additionally, the content handle serves to describe the location as to where the virtual inventory units will be sent to be handled and rerouted. For example, the content handle is read by the VCH to determine the location of the media content to be accessed in the case of a ‘content access request’.” When the user picks which data content they would like to address, the start and end time necessarily go along with that b/c it would be stored in the content handle, which describes the content’s location.);

(e) calculating a rate for a first semantic unit according to predetermined standards (see page 5, paragraph [0059]); and (f) generating an execution file capable of executing a first semantic unit of the multimedia content purchased by the user using the start time and end time of the first semantic unit and the address information of the multimedia content stored in the purchasing system and providing information to which the execution file is linked (see page 6, paragraph [0074] “In step 330, method 300 plays the selected media content. In one illustrative embodiment, the media content owner forwards the stream of media content to a web enabled device specified by the user

either directly or via the MAP of the user.” The stream of media content would appear to be in the form of an execution file that is able to be used by the purchaser.)

17. Regarding claim 33, **Milton** teaches a system for purchasing multimedia content from a multimedia content owner using a predetermined purchasing system, the system comprising: an input and output unit which allows a user to select multimedia content including a first semantic unit to be purchased and to set a start time and an end time of the first semantic unit (see page 4, paragraph [0042] “First, the content handle data element uniquely identifies a particular media content. Namely, the content handle is a universally recognized code that is assigned by a “virtual media registry” (VMR) to uniquely represent a particular media content, e.g., a particular CD of an artist, a particular video or movie and so on. This data element allows participating entities within the Virtual Media Transactional Network to quickly associate the virtual inventory unit with a particular media content.” By knowing the particular unit of the media, either a song, the whole cd, or however it is broken up, the start and end location of the semantic unit would necessarily be included within the content handle data element.); a keyword database which stores the start time and end time of the first semantic unit together with address information of multimedia contents that can be purchased using the purchasing system (see page 4, paragraph [0042] “Additionally, the content handle serves to describe the location as to where the virtual inventory units will be sent to be handled and rerouted. For example, the content handle is read by the VCH to determine



the location of the media content to be accessed in the case of a 'content access request'." This represents the address information);

a controller which executes the selected multimedia content using the address information stored in the keyword database (see page 6, paragraph [0073] "Once the request is authenticated, the media content owner streams the relevant media content directly to the user via the media access provider of the user."); stores the start time and end time of the first semantic unit in the keyword database in response to an input from the user using the input and output unit (see page 4, paragraph [0042] "Additionally, the content handle serves to describe the location as to where the virtual inventory units will be sent to be handled and rerouted. For example, the content handle is read by the VCH to determine the location of the media content to be accessed in the case of a 'content access request'." This represents the address information); generates an execution file for executing the first semantic unit using the address information of the selected multimedia content and the start time and end time of the first semantic unit, and provides link information to which the execution file is linked (see page 6, paragraph [0074] "In step 330, method 300 plays the selected media content. In one illustrative embodiment, the media content owner forwards the stream of media content to a web enabled device specified by the user either directly or via the MAP of the user.")

and a rate calculation unit which calculates a rate for the first semantic unit according to predetermined standards (see page 5, paragraph [0049] "Second, the content doctrine can define or associate with a content handle a pricing hierarchy. For example, a list of

pricing for the media content can be associated with the type of transaction, e.g., wholesale, retail, promotion, and so on.”)

18. Regarding claim 34, **Milton** teaches a computer-readable recording medium on which a program enabling the method of any of claims 1 through 4, 6, 7, 12 through 15, 20 through 22, 24, 26, 28, and 30 through 32 is recorded. (See page 4, paragraph [0040] “As such, the virtual content handler and the media content owner of the present invention can be stored on a computer readable medium.”)

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

20. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** as applied to claim 1 or 4 above, and further in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860). **Gibbon et al.** teaches a method substantially as claimed. **Gibbon et al.** fails to teach a keyword is classified into a person category, an object category, a time category, or a place category. However **Liu et al.** teaches a keyword is classified into a person category, an object category, a time category, or a place category (See Fig. 3, section 306, where the list of categories includes “People” as one of the options.) It would have

been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include the particular categories of classification into the method as disclosed in **Liu et al.** with the method as disclosed in **Gibbon et al.** because these are common useful categories that most media can be broken up into. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a keyword is classified into a person category, an object category, a time category, or a place category.

21. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860). **Gibbon et al.** teaches a method substantially as claimed. **Gibbon et al.** fails to teach (g) storing a predetermined keyword together with its similar keywords so that a semantic unit corresponding to the predetermined keyword and semantic units corresponding to its similar keywords can be searched for together when a search for the semantic unit corresponding to the predetermined keyword or any of its similar keywords is carried out. However, **Liu et al.** teaches storing a predetermined keyword together with its similar keywords so that a semantic unit corresponding to the predetermined keyword and semantic units corresponding to its similar keywords can be searched for together when a search for the semantic unit corresponding to the predetermined keyword or any of its similar keywords is carried out. (See column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 4 “The feature and semantic matcher utilizes a semantic network to locate objects with similar keywords. The semantic network defines associations between the keywords and multimedia objects. Weights are assigned to the

associations to indicate how relevant certain keywords are to the multimedia objects.”)  
It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the method as disclosed in **Gibbon et al.** with that in **Liu et al.** by adding the keyword combination storing feature because by having related keywords stored together the system can recognize similar keywords and provide more accurate results for the user, where only being able to recognize specific words would not have been as accurate. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to store a predetermined keyword together with its similar keywords so that a semantic unit corresponding to the predetermined keyword and semantic units corresponding to its similar keywords can be searched for together when a search for the semantic unit corresponding to the predetermined keyword or any of its similar keywords is carried out.

22. Claims 8 –10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view of **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325) and further in view of **Nelson et al.** (US 6,243,713).

23. Regarding claim 8, **Gibbon et al.** teaches a system for constructing a multimedia database, comprising: a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-33 “The output of the multimedia content integration and description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370.” Here, database 380 is

the multimedia database.); a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.); location information of each first semantic unit of the multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data, and location information of each second semantic unit of the multimedia data, which includes at least one first semantic unit (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ..." The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.);

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, the location information of each second semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords; and a control unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, the location information of each second semantic unit, and the keywords from the input unit and stores the keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding first and second semantic units' location information.

However **Benitez et al.** teaches an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, the location information of each second semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords (See column 7, lines 43-47 "The media descriptor block includes information describing the media attributes of a cluster. For example, the media descriptor block may inherit format information, storage requirements, file identification parameters, and file location information of the clusters." In other words, the media descriptor block, by inheriting the location information and the keywords, is receiving all of the above mentioned information.) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include an input unit as described in **Benitez et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** because the importation of location and keyword information is necessary for the proper functioning of a database which is based on this information. There had to have been some way of acquiring the data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, the location information of each second semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords.

In addition, **Nelson et al.** teaches a control unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, the location information of each second semantic unit, and the keywords from the input unit and stores the keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding first and second semantic units' location information

(See column 2, lines 56-67 "Alternatively, each of elements of the image may be separately stored in the multimedia index, each with data identifying the document and the position of the image in the document. The audio data would be indexed by speech recognition words or phonemes, each of which is indexed to reflect the audio's position at the 100<sup>th</sup> character, and further optionally indexed to reflect their relative time offset in the recorded audio. Thus, a single compound document can be indexed with respect to any number of multimedia components (or portions thereof), with the multimedia index reflecting the position of the multimedia component or its portions within the document".) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the control unit as described in **Nelson et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** and the input unit as described in **Benitez et al.** because after the data has been input in any system, it must be properly stored. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a control unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, the location information of each second semantic unit, and the keywords from the input unit and stores the keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding first and second semantic units' location information.

24. Regarding claim 9, **Gibbon et al.** additionally teaches the input unit receives titles of each first semantic unit and each second semantic unit, and the control unit stores the titles in the keyword database together with their corresponding keywords. (See column 3, lines 59-60 "Using the extracted stories and summaries/introductions,

topics can be detected and categorized.” The categories here can represent a title for the semantic unit. And see column 13, lines 50-52 “In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given.” The keywords could also be used as titles.)

25. Regarding claim 10, **Gibbon et al.** additionally teaches the input unit receives predetermined categories into which the keywords are classified, and the controller stores the keywords with their corresponding category. (See column 12, lines 50-56 “On the left of the screen, different semantics are categorized in the form of a table of contents...It is in a familiar hierarchical fashion which indexes directly into the stamped media data.” The categories are found within the table of contents.)

26. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view of **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325) and further in view of **Nelson et al.** (US 6,243,713) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860). **Gibbon et al.**, **Benitez et al.**, and **Nelson et al.** teach a method substantially as claimed. **Gibbon et al.**, **Benitez et al.**, and **Nelson et al.** fail to teach the keyword database includes a similar keyword database where keywords having similar meanings or indicating the same thing are stored, and when a keyword is input via the input unit, the controller searches the similar keyword database for a keyword that matches the input keyword, and, if there is a search result, stores the input keyword in the keyword database together with its similar keywords obtained from the similar



keyword database so that not only a semantic unit corresponding to the input keyword but also semantic units corresponding to its similar keywords can be searched for when a search for the semantic unit of the input keyword or any of its similar keywords is carried out. However, **Liu et al.** teaches the keyword database includes a similar keyword database where keywords having similar meanings or indicating the same thing are stored, and when a keyword is input via the input unit, the controller searches the similar keyword database for a keyword that matches the input keyword, and, if there is a search result, stores the input keyword in the keyword database together with its similar keywords obtained from the similar keyword database so that not only a semantic unit corresponding to the input keyword but also semantic units corresponding to its similar keywords can be searched for when a search for the semantic unit of the input keyword or any of its similar keywords is carried out. (See column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 4 “The feature and semantic matcher utilizes a semantic network to locate objects with similar keywords. The semantic network defines associations between the keywords and multimedia objects. Weights are assigned to the associations to indicate how relevant certain keywords are to the multimedia objects.” And see column 6, lines 52-54 “For text queries, the feature and semantic matcher has a semantic matcher to identify objects with associated keywords that match the keywords from the query.”) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the method as disclosed in **Gibbon et al.** with that in **Liu et al.** by adding the keyword combination storing and searching feature because by having related keywords stored together the system can recognize similar keywords and provide more

accurate results for the user, where only being able to recognize specific words would not have been as accurate. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the keyword database includes a similar keyword database where keywords having similar meanings or indicating the same thing are stored, and when a keyword is input via the input unit, the controller searches the similar keyword database for a keyword that matches the input keyword, and, if there is a search result, stores the input keyword in the keyword database together with its similar keywords obtained from the similar keyword database so that not only a semantic unit corresponding to the input keyword but also semantic units corresponding to its similar keywords can be searched for when a search for the semantic unit of the input keyword or any of its similar keywords is carried out.

27. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860).

**Gibbon et al.** teaches a method substantially as claimed. **Gibbon et al.** fails to teach (b3) receiving a first keyword and first keyword information; and (b4) extracting the first keyword as a keyword of a first semantic unit when the first semantic unit has the same keyword information as the received keyword information. However, **Liu et al.** teaches (b3) receiving a first keyword and first keyword information; and (b4) extracting the first keyword as a keyword of a first semantic unit when the first semantic unit has the same keyword information as the received keyword information. (See column 8, lines 35-36 "At block 56, the retrieval/annotation system receives an initial query submitted by a

user via the user interface.” And lines 44-45 “At block 604, the query handler parses the user query to extract one or more keywords.” And column 10 lines 42-44 “...For each keyword in the input query, check if any of them is not in the keyword database.”) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art combine the teachings of **Liu et al.** with the method as described in **Gibbon et al.** because by including a way to process the keywords inputted by the user with the keywords of the semantic unit, a match can be found to return as many as possible results. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include receiving a first keyword and first keyword information; and (b4) extracting the first keyword as a keyword of a first semantic unit when the first semantic unit has the same keyword information as the received keyword information.

28. Claims 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view of **Nelson et al.** (US 6,243,713).

29. Regarding claim 16, **Gibbon et al.** teaches a system for constructing a multimedia database, comprising: a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-33 “The output of the multimedia content integration and description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370.” Here, database 380 is the multimedia database.);

a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.); location information of each first semantic unit of the multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data, and location information of each second semantic unit of the multimedia data, which includes at least one first semantic unit (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ..." The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.);

a keyword extraction unit which extracts keywords from the multimedia data using a predetermined method (See column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.);

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach a control unit which divides the multimedia data into first semantic units and second semantic units and stores keywords in the keyword

database together with their corresponding first and second semantic units' location information.

However, **Nelson et al.** teaches a control unit which divides the multimedia data into first semantic units and second semantic units and stores keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding first and second semantic units' location information. (See column 2, lines 56-67 "Alternatively, each of elements of the image may be separately stored in the multimedia index, each with data identifying the document and the position of the image in the document. The audio data would be indexed by speech recognition words or phonemes, each of which is indexed to reflect the audio's position at the 100<sup>th</sup> character, and further optionally indexed to reflect their relative time offset in the recorded audio. Thus, a single compound document can be indexed with respect to any number of multimedia components (or portions thereof), with the multimedia index reflecting the position of the multimedia component or its portions within the document".) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the control unit as described in **Nelson et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** in order to properly store inputted data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include divides the multimedia data into first semantic units and second semantic units and stores keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding first and second semantic units' location information.

30. Regarding claim 18, **Gibbon et al.** additionally teaches the keyword extraction unit comprises: a voice extractor which extracts voice data from the multimedia data using a predetermined speech recognition technique (See column 3, lines 43-45 "Text may be from closed caption provided by a media provider or generated by the automatic speech recognition engine."); and a part-of-speech extractor which extracts a predetermined part of speech from the voice data extracted by the voice extractor as a keyword (see column 13, lines 46-49 "For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency.")

31. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860) as applied to claim 14 above and further in view of **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325). **Gibbon et al.** and **Liu et al.** teach a system substantially as claimed. **Gibbon et al.** and **Liu et al.** fail to teach an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, the location information of each second semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords. However **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325) teaches an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, the location information of each second semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords. (See column 7, lines 43-47 "The media descriptor block includes information describing the media attributes of a cluster. For example, the media descriptor block may inherit format

information, storage requirements, file identification parameters, and file location information of the clusters.” In other words, the media descriptor block, by inheriting the location information and the keywords, is receiving all of the above mentioned information.) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include an input unit as described in **Benitez et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** and **Liu et al.** because the importation of location and keyword information is necessary for the proper functioning of a database which is based on this information. There had to have been some way of acquiring the data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, the location information of each second semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords.

32. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** in view of **Nelson et al.** as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860). **Gibbon et al.** and **Nelson et al.** teach a system substantially as claimed. **Gibbon et al.** and **Nelson et al.** fail to teach an input unit which receives a first keyword and first keyword information, wherein the keyword extraction unit extracts the first keyword as a keyword of a first semantic unit when the first semantic unit has the same keyword information as the received keyword information. However, **Liu et al.** teaches an input unit which receives a first keyword and first keyword information, wherein the keyword extraction unit extracts the first keyword as a keyword of a first

semantic unit when the first semantic unit has the same keyword information as the received keyword information. (See column 8, lines 35-36 "At block 56, the retrieval/annotation system receives an initial query submitted by a user via the user interface." And lines 44-45 "At block 604, the query handler parses the user query to extract one or more keywords." And column 10 lines 42-44 "...For each keyword in the input query, check if any of them is not in the keyword database.") It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art combine the teachings of **Liu et al.** with the system as described in **Gibbon et al.** and **Nelson et al.** because by including a way to process the keywords inputted by the user with the keywords of the semantic unit, a match can be found to return as many as possible results. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include an input unit which receives a first keyword and first keyword information, wherein the keyword extraction unit extracts the first keyword as a keyword of a first semantic unit when the first semantic unit has the same keyword information as the received keyword information.

33. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909), in view of **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325), and further in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860).

34. Regarding claim 20, **Gibbon et al.** teaches a method of providing a multimedia data search service using a system for providing a multimedia data search service, including a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-



33 “The output of the multimedia content integration and description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370.” Here, database 380 is the multimedia database.); and a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13, lines 27-29 “The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090.” The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.); location information of each first semantic unit of the multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data, and location information of each second semantic unit of the multimedia data, which includes at least one first semantic unit (see column 11, lines 16-17 “The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ...” The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 “The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day.” These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.), (b) allowing a user to select a search unit level from between a first semantic unit and a second semantic unit (See column 4, lines 7-15 “The news data is segmented into multiple layers in a hierarchy to meet different needs. For instance, some users may want to retrieve a story directly; some others may want to listen to the news summary of the day in order to decide which story sounds interesting before making further choices....” Here, the different semantic units are represented by various lengths of the data stream representing portions of a news

broadcast. The user selects which length is the appropriate one for their use.); and (d) outputting information of a searched semantic unit of the received search unit level, linking with the search semantic unit in the multimedia database (See column 4, lines 15-18 "This segmentation mechanism partitions the broadcast data in different ways so that direct indices to the events of different interests can be automatically established." In this case, the search unit level is the particular type of division of the broadcast and the index that is created creates the linking.)

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach the method comprising: (a) receiving keywords necessary to search for multimedia data; (c) searching for multimedia data of the received search unit level whose keywords match the received keyword.

However **Benitez et al.** teaches receiving keywords necessary to search for multimedia data (See column 7, lines 43-47 "The media descriptor block includes information describing the media attributes of a cluster. For example, the media descriptor block may inherit format information, storage requirements, file identification parameters, and file location information of the clusters." In other words, the media descriptor block, by inheriting the location information and the keywords, is receiving all of the above mentioned information including the keywords.) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include receiving the keywords as described in **Benitez et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** because the importation of the keyword information is necessary for the proper functioning of a database which is based on this

information. There had to have been some way of acquiring the data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include receiving keywords necessary to search for multimedia data.

Additionally, **Liu et al.** teaches searching for multimedia data of the received search unit level whose keywords match the received keyword. (See column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 4 “The feature and semantic matcher utilizes a semantic network to locate objects with similar keywords. The semantic network defines associations between the keywords and multimedia objects. Weights are assigned to the associations to indicate how relevant certain keywords are to the multimedia objects.”) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the method as disclosed in **Gibbon et al.** with that in **Liu et al.** by adding the keyword searching feature because by having related keywords stored together the system can recognize similar keywords and provide more accurate results for the user when searching for the keywords, whereas only being able to recognize specific words would not have been as accurate. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include searching for multimedia data of the received search unit level whose keywords match the received keyword.

35. Regarding claim 21, **Gibbon et al.** additionally teaches keywords are stored in the keyword database together with their corresponding first and second semantic units' location information and titles, and in (d), titles of searched semantic units are displayed

on a screen. (See column 3, lines 59-60 "Using the extracted stories and summaries/introductions, topics can be detected and categorized." The categories here can represent keywords or a title for the semantic unit. And see column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given." The keywords could also be used as titles.)

36. Regarding claim 22, **Gibbon et al.** additionally teaches the searched semantic units are displayed on a screen together with their respective keywords. (See column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given." The table of contents lists the searched semantic units.)

37. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860). **Gibbon et al.** teaches a system for providing a multimedia data search service, comprising: a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-33 "The output of the multimedia content integration and description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370." Here, database 380 is the multimedia database.); a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions

(including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090."

The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.);

location information of each first semantic unit of the multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data, and location information of each second semantic unit of the multimedia data, which includes at least one first semantic unit (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ..." The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.);

an input unit which receives a keyword and a search unit level a user (see column 13, lines 46-49 "For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency." And see column 4, lines 7-15 "The news data is segmented into multiple layers in a hierarchy to meet different needs. For instance, some users may want to retrieve a story directly; some others may want to listen to the news summary of the day in order to decide which story sounds interesting before making further choices...." Here, the different semantic units are represented by various lengths of the data stream representing portions of a news broadcast. The user selects which length is the appropriate one for their use and it is received by the input unit.);

provides links between resulting search results and places in the multimedia database where the search results are stored, (See column 4, lines 15-18 "This segmentation mechanism partitions the broadcast data in different ways so that direct indices to the events of different interests can be automatically established." In this case, the search unit level is the particular type of division of the broadcast and the indices that are created creates the linking.);

and outputs some of the search results selected by the user; and a display unit which displays the searched results obtained by the control unit (See column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given." The table of contents lists the searched semantic units. As shown in FIG. 13, the results are also displayed for the user along the leftcolumn.)

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach a control unit which searches the keyword database for a keyword that matches the received keyword.

However, **Liu et al.** teaches a control unit which searches the keyword database for a keyword that matches the received keyword (See column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 4 "The feature and semantic matcher utilizes a semantic network to locate objects with similar keywords. The semantic network defines associations between the keywords and multimedia objects. Weights are assigned to the associations to indicate how relevant certain keywords are to the multimedia objects.") It would have been obvious

to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the method as disclosed in **Gibbon et al.** with that in **Liu et al.** by adding the keyword searching feature because by having related keywords stored together the system can recognize similar keywords and provide more accurate results for the user when searching for the keywords, whereas only being able to recognize specific words would not have been as accurate. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a control unit which searches the keyword database for a keyword that matches the received keyword.

38. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325) in view of **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909). **Benitez et al.** teaches a method of constructing a multimedia database, comprising: (a) receiving location information of each semantic unit of multimedia data, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data; (b) receiving a keyword for each semantic unit; (See column 7, lines 43-47 "The media descriptor block includes information describing the media attributes of a cluster. For example, the media descriptor block may inherit format information, storage requirements, file identification parameters, and file location information of the clusters." In other words, the media descriptor block, by inheriting the location information and the keywords, is receiving all of the above mentioned information including the keywords.)

**Benitez et al.** fails to teach storing keywords together with their corresponding semantic unit' location information.

However **Gibbon et al.** teaches storing keywords together with their corresponding semantic unit' location information. (See column 3, lines 59-60 "Using the extracted stories and summaries/introductions, topics can be detected and categorized." The categories here can represent keywords for the semantic unit. And see column 13, lines 50-52 "In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given." The categorization stores the keyword with the location information.) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of **Benitez et al.** with that of **Gibbon et al.** because the keywords can be used to make finding the various appropriate semantic units possible during a search. It also becomes more efficient by storing them together. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include storing keywords together with their corresponding semantic unit' location information.

39. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view of **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325) and further in view of **Nelson et al.** (US 6,243,713). **Gibbon et al.** teaches a system for constructing a multimedia database, comprising: a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-33 "The output of the multimedia content integration and



description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370.” Here, database 380 is the multimedia database.); a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13, lines 27-29 “The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090.” The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.); and location information of each semantic unit, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data (see column 11, lines 16-17 “The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ...” The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 “The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day.” These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.);

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach an input unit which receives the location information of each semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords; and a control unit which receives the location information of each semantic unit from the input unit and stores the keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding semantic units' location information.

However **Benitez et al.** teaches an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords (See column 7, lines 43-47 "The media descriptor block includes information describing the media attributes of a cluster. For example, the media descriptor block may inherit format information, storage requirements, file identification parameters, and file location information of the clusters." In other words, the media descriptor block, by inheriting the location information and the keywords, is receiving all of the above mentioned information.) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include an input unit as described in **Benitez et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** because the importation of location and keyword information is necessary for the proper functioning of a database which is based on this information. There had to have been some way of acquiring the data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include an input unit which receives the location information of each first semantic unit, including a start point and an end point, and the keywords.

In addition, **Nelson et al.** teaches a control unit which receives the location information of each semantic unit from the input unit and stores the keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding semantic units' location information (See column 2, lines 56-67 "Alternatively, each of elements of the image may be separately stored in the multimedia index, each with data identifying the document and the position of the image in the document. The audio data would be indexed by speech recognition

words or phonemes, each of which is indexed to reflect the audio's position at the 100<sup>th</sup> character, and further optionally indexed to reflect their relative time offset in the recorded audio. Thus, a single compound document can be indexed with respect to any number of multimedia components (or portions thereof), with the multimedia index reflecting the position of the multimedia component or its portions within the document".) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the control unit as described in **Nelson et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** and the input unit as described in **Benitez et al.** because after the data has been input in any system, it must be properly stored. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a control unit which receives the location information of each semantic unit from the input unit and stores the keywords in the keyword database together with their corresponding semantic units' location information.

40. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view **Nelson et al.** (US 6,243,713). **Gibbon et al.** teaches a system for constructing a multimedia database, comprising: a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-33 "The output of the multimedia content integration and description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370." Here, database 380 is the multimedia database.); a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13,

lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.); and location information of each semantic unit, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ...". The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.); a keyword extraction unit which extracts keywords from the multimedia data using a predetermined method (see column 13, lines 46-49 "For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency.");

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach and a control unit which divides the multimedia data into semantic units having a predetermined length and stores the extracted keywords together with their corresponding semantic units location information.

However, **Nelson et al.** teaches a control unit which divides the multimedia data into semantic units having a predetermined length and stores the extracted keywords together with their corresponding semantic units location information. (See column 2, lines 56-67 "Alternatively, each of elements of the image may be separately stored in

the multimedia index, each with data identifying the document and the position of the image in the document. The audio data would be indexed by speech recognition words or phonemes, each of which is indexed to reflect the audio's position at the 100<sup>th</sup> character, and further optionally indexed to reflect their relative time offset in the recorded audio. Thus, a single compound document can be indexed with respect to any number of multimedia components (or portions thereof), with the multimedia index reflecting the position of the multimedia component or its portions within the document".) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the control unit as described in **Nelson et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** because after the data has been input in any system, it must be properly stored. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a control unit which divides the multimedia data into semantic units having a predetermined length and stores the extracted keywords together with their corresponding semantic units location information.

41. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860). **Gibbon et al.** teaches a method for providing a multimedia data search service system Including a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-33 "The output of the multimedia content integration and description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370." Here, database 380 is the multimedia database.);

and a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.);

and location information of each semantic unit, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data, (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ..." The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10, lines 41-43 "The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day." These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.); The method comprising:

(a) inputting a keyword for searching for multimedia data (see column 13, lines 46-49 "For textual representation, keywords are chosen in step 5080 above, from the story according to their importance computed as weighted frequency." And see column 4, lines 7-15 "The news data is segmented into multiple layers in a hierarchy to meet different needs. For instance, some users may want to retrieve a story directly; some others may want to listen to the news summary of the day in order to decide which story sounds interesting before making further choices...." Here, the different semantic units are represented by various lengths of the data stream representing portions of a news broadcast. The user selects which length is the appropriate one for their use and it is received by the input unit.);

(c) linking resulting search results to their locations in the multimedia database where the search results are stored, (See column 4, lines 15-18 “This segmentation mechanism partitions the broadcast data in different ways so that direct indices to the events of different interests can be automatically established.” In this case, the search unit level is the particular type of division of the broadcast and the indices that are created creates the linking.); and presenting the search results to a user (See column 13, lines 50-52 “In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given.” The table of contents lists the searched semantic units. As shown in FIG. 13, the results are also displayed for the user along the self column.)

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach (b) searching for a semantic unit of a selected search unit level having the same keyword as the input keyword;

However, **Liu et al.** teaches (b) searching for a semantic unit of a selected search unit level having the same keyword as the input keyword; (See column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 4 “The feature and semantic matcher utilizes a semantic network to locate objects with similar keywords. The semantic network defines associations between the keywords and multimedia objects. Weights are assigned to the associations to indicate how relevant certain keywords are to the multimedia objects.”) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the method as disclosed in **Gibbon et al.** with that in **Liu et al.** by adding the keyword searching feature because

by having related keywords stored together the system can recognize similar keywords and provide more accurate results for the user when searching for the keywords, whereas only being able to recognize specific words would not have been as accurate. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include searching for a semantic unit of a selected search unit level having the same keyword as the input keyword.

42. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Gibbon et al.** (US 6,714,909) in view of **Benitez et al.** (US 6,941,325) and further in view of **Liu et al.** (US 6,970,860). **Gibbon et al.** teaches a system for providing a multimedia data search service, comprising: a multimedia database which stores multimedia data (See column 4, lines 29-33 "The output of the multimedia content integration and description generation unit is stored in database 380 which can be subsequently retrieved upon a request from a user at terminal 390 through search engine 370." Here, database 380 is the multimedia database.); a keyword database which stores keywords necessary for searching for the multimedia data (See column 13, lines 27-29 "The segmented content and multimedia descriptions (including the table of contents), are stored in multimedia database 380 in step 5090." The table of contents, as well as the multimedia description, include the keywords.); and location information of each semantic unit, which is a smallest unit for searching for multimedia data (see column 11, lines 16-17 "The blocks in both sets are all time stamped,  $m=n$  and ..." The starting point and ending points are determined based on the time stamp provided. And see column 10,



lines 41-43 “The goal is to extract three classes of semantics: news stories, augmented stories (augmented by the introduction of the story by the anchor), and news summary of the day.” These divisions represent different semantic units of multimedia data.); and a display unit which displays the searched results obtained by the control unit. (See column 13, lines 50-52 “In the table of contents generated by the content description generator 455 shown in FIG. 13, next to each story listed, a set of 10 keywords are given.” The table of contents lists the searched semantic units. As shown in FIG. 13, the results are also displayed for the user along the left column.)

**Gibbon et al.** fails to teach an input unit which receives a keyword from a user; a control unit which searches the keyword database for a keyword that matches the received keyword and outputs resulting search results with links to their locations in the multimedia database.

However **Benitez et al.** teaches an input unit which receives a keyword from a user (See column 12, lines 3-5 “The query processing subsystem can receive a user query through applicable input/output (I/O) circuitry...” The query makes up the keyword from the user.) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include an input unit as described in **Benitez et al.** with the database as described in **Gibbon et al.** because the importation of the keyword is necessary for the proper functioning of a database which is based on or being searched using this information. There had to have been some way of acquiring the data. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2167

in the art would have been motivated to include an input unit which receives a keyword from a user.

In addition, **Liu et al.** teaches a control unit which searches the keyword database for a keyword that matches the received keyword and outputs resulting search results with links to their locations in the multimedia database (See column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 4 “The feature and semantic matcher utilizes a semantic network to locate objects with similar keywords. The semantic network defines associations between the keywords and multimedia objects. Weights are assigned to the associations to indicate how relevant certain keywords are to the multimedia objects.”) It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the method as disclosed in **Gibbon et al.** with that in **Liu et al.** by adding the keyword searching feature because by having related keywords stored together the system can recognize similar keywords and provide more accurate results for the user when searching for the keywords, whereas only being able to recognize specific words would not have been as accurate. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a control unit which searches the keyword database for a keyword that matches the received keyword and outputs resulting search results with links to their locations in the multimedia database.

***Conclusion***

43. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Jasinski et al.** (US 2002/0164151) teaches creating a table of contents from analyzing multimedia signals.

**Ouchi et al.** (US 2005/0080789) teaches user controlled storing of multimedia information.


**Sull et al.** (US 2002/0069218) teaches book marking multimedia streams, including tagging, indexing, searching, and retrieving video images.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis L. Vautrot whose telephone number is 571-272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dv  
16 May 2006

  
JOHN R. COTTINGHAM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

*SN* 17 May 2006